Draft version February 1, 2008
Preprint typeset using LaTeX style emulateapj v. 04/03/99

arXiv:astro-ph/0003266v1 17 Mar 2000

ON COMPANION-INDUCED OFF-CENTER SUPERNOVA-LIKE EXPLOSIONS

Chang-Hwan Lee[a,b], Insu Yi[b], and Hyun Kyu Lee[c]
a) Dept. of Physics & Astronomy, SUNY, Stony Brook, New York 11794, USA
b) School of Physics, Korea Institute for Advanced Study, Seoul 130-012, Korea
c) Dept. of Physics, Hanyang University, Seoul 133-791, Korea

Draft version February 1, 2008

ABSTRACT

We suggest that a neutron star with a strong magnetic field, spiraling into the envelope of a companion star, can generate a "companion induced SN-like off-center explosion". The strongly magnetized neutron star ("magnetar") is born in a supernova explosion before entering into an expanding envelope of a supergiant companion. If the neutron star collapses into a black hole via the hypercritical accretion during the spiral-in phase, a rapidly rotating black hole with a strong magnetic field at the horizon results. The Blandford-Znajek power is sufficient to power a supernova-like event with the center of explosion displaced from the companion core. The companion core, after explosion, evolves into a C/O-white dwarf or a neutron star with a second explosion. The detection of highly eccentric black-hole, C/O-white dwarf binaries or the double explosion structures in the supernova remnants could be an evidence of the proposed scenario.

Subject headings: binaries: close – stars: neutron – stars: evolution – stars: statistics

1. INTRODUCTION

It has recently been reported that neutron stars with large luminosities could be powered by ultra-strong magnetic fields $\sim 10^{14}G$ (”magnetars”) rather than rapid rotation as in rotation-powered pulsars (e.g. Kouveliotou et al. 1998, 1999). These ”magnetars” are believed to be produced in supernova explosions or accretion induced collapses (Duncan & Thompson 1992).

On the other hand, the Blandford-Znajek process has been suggested as a powerful source for a variety of very energetic events ranging from active galactic nuclei (Blandford & Znajek 1977) to gamma-ray bursts (e.g. Lee et al. 2000ab and references therein). The extremely high luminosities or power outputs generally require ultra-strong magnetic fields around rapidly rotating black holes. The origin of such strong magnetic fields around the rapidly spinning black holes has not been clearly understood. It is interesting to point out that if magnetars directly collapse to black holes via rapid accretion, the resulting black holes could spin rapidly with magnetic fields left at the horizon, which are large enough to make the Blandford-Znajek power interesting for very energetic events.

Based on this possibility, we propose that the hypercritical accretion onto a neutron star with a very strong magnetic field can produce a rapidly spinning black hole with an ultra-strong field near the horizon. The hypercritical accretion has been invoked for the common envelope phase evolution of the massive stellar binaries (Bethe & Brown 1998). Unless the strong magnetic fields decay within time scales shorter than the duration of the accretion phase, ~ 1 year, it is highly likely that the black holes with very strong fields could be produced. The Blandford-Znajek power is sufficient to power a supernova-like event with the center of explosion displaced from the companion core. The companion core, after explosion, evolves into a C/O-white dwarf or a neutron star with a second explosion. The detection of highly eccentric black-hole, C/O-white dwarf binaries or the double explosion structure in the supernova remnants, with two different centers of explosion, could be an evidence of the proposed scenario. Especially, in the later case, the neutron star can have double kicks, resulting in very high kick velocities, > 1000 km s^{-1}. If the spiral-in of the neutron star leads to the merger with the companion core, the explosion at the core would appear as an explosion from a single star. The neutron star-neutron star binary system is expected when the companion collapses before significant accretion of the neutron star occurs.

2. MAGNETAR FORMATION AND ULTRA-STRONG MAGNETIC FIELDS

The NSs right after core collapse are hot and convective throughout most of the stellar interior due to a large neutrino flux. Duncan & Thompson (1992) and Thompson & Duncan (1993) describe the most likely outcome of such hot neutron stars. Right after the collapse, there exists an intense neutrino-driven convective phase with the overturn time scale of convective cell, $\tau_{\rm conv} \sim 1$ ms at the base of the convection zone and $\tau_{\rm conv} \sim 0.1$ ms at the neutrinosphere. In this case, if the neutron star spin frequency is

$$\Omega \gtrsim \Omega_{\rm dynamo} \sim 2\pi\tau_{\rm conv}^{-1} \sim 6\times 10^3 {\rm s}^{-1}, \qquad (1)$$

the amplification of of a magnetic field by helical motions is not suppressed by turbulent diffusion, and the $\alpha\Omega$-type dynamo could work efficiently. They found that the saturation field strength of $B_{\rm sat} \sim 10^{16} - 10^{17}$G could be reached within $\sim 10 - 100$ ms. Therefore, post-collapse NSs with $P \lesssim 1$ms, within the first few seconds, can build up very strong large scale magnetic fields $\geq 10^{15}$G through exponential growth. In this case, the resulting ultra-strong field is independent of the fossil field strength. For strong seed fields, the small-scale fluid motion, which is required for ohmic dissipation of magnetic energy, is suppressed by the magnetic tension. The convective motion ceases when the neutrino-driven convection becomes unavailable. Such a strong field pulsar with slow spin ("magnetar", Duncan & Thompson 1992) has recently been discovered, which strongly supports the basic idea of creation of a ultrastrong field pulsar (Kouveliotou et al. 1998, 1999).

For NSs spinning with $\Omega \lesssim \Omega_{\rm dynamo}$ or $P \gtrsim 1$ms, the differential rotation (i.e. $d\Omega/dR \neq 0$) could amplify the seed poloidal fields by wrapping them up into strong toroidal fields (Kluzniak & Ruderman 1998). For an initial poloidal seed field with strength $B_p \sim 10^9$G which would result from flux freezing of a field of $\lesssim 3\times 10^4$G in the pre-collapse core, is amplified to the strong toroidal field of $B_\phi \sim 10^{17}$G after $\sim (1/2\pi)(B_\phi/B_p) \sim 10^7$ rotations or time $\tau \sim B_\phi/B_p\Omega \sim 10^4 P$ s with P in ms. The amplified field becomes buoyant after reaching the critical field strength of $B_b \sim 10^{17}$G. Since the total energy included in the buoyant fluid cells is $\sim \frac{1}{8\pi}B_b^2(\frac{4\pi R^3}{3})\eta \sim 3\times 10^{51}\eta$ erg, where $\eta \sim 0.2$ is the fractional volume of the buoyant elements, the repeated build-up and buoyant loss will occur for a number of times determined as

$$N_{\rm buoyant\ loss} \sim \frac{(1/2)I\Omega^2}{(1/8\pi)(B_b^2)(4\pi R^3/3)\eta} \sim \frac{2}{\eta} \sim 10, \quad (2)$$

where $I \approx \frac{2}{5}MR^2$ with neutron star mass $M = 1.5\ M_\odot$ and neutron star radius $R = 10$ km. This process will continue until the existing poloidal seed field is exhausted as the poloidal field is not re-generated in this non-dynamo amplification process. The buoyantly rising field could dissipate on a time scale

$$\tau_{\rm dissipation} \sim (4\pi\rho)^{1/2}\frac{R}{B_b} \sim 10^{-3}\ {\rm s}, \quad (3)$$

which controls the overall time scale. Therefore, we conclude that essentially all NSs with $P \sim$ a few ms could achieve strong fields through either dynamo or differential rotation.

Once strong fields are created, rapid spin-down of NSs is inevitable. The electromagnetic dipole radiation luminosity is

$$L_{\rm EM} \sim \frac{2}{3c^3}B^2R^6\Omega^4 = 2\times 10^{50}B_{15}^2\Omega_4^4\ {\rm erg\ s}^{-1} \quad (4)$$

which gives the characteristic electromagnetic spin-down time scale

$$\tau_{\rm EM} \sim \frac{I\Omega^2}{2L_{\rm EM}} \sim 3\times 10^2\frac{1}{B_{15}^2\Omega_4^2}\ {\rm s} \quad (5)$$

where $B_{15} = B/10^{15}$G and $\Omega_4 = \Omega/10^4{\rm s}^{-1}$. Therefore, all MSPs with ms periods are likely to spin-down to near the pulsar deathline, $P \sim 70B_{15}^{1/2}$s, on a time scale of $\sim 10^5 B_{15}^{-1}$ yr (e.g. Ritchings 1976). That is, these initially fast spinning MSPs would not be detected as MSPs unless they are recycled through the low mass X-ray binary stage, which is not promising (Yi & Grindlay 1998). Therefore, before the common envelope phase begins, the neutron stars are likely to be spinning with spin periods very similar to those of the magnetars and anomalous X-ray pulsars (e.g. Mereghetti 1999, Kouveliotou 1998, 1999). That is, the initial conditions for the neutron stars which spiral-in during the common envelope phase are likely to be a slow spin and a high magnetic field.

3. HYPERCRITICAL ACCRETION

Bethe & Brown (1998) suggested that the hypercritical accretion can occur in the massive binary systems, in which both stars can go into supernova leaving the compact cores as remnants. In their scenario, the more massive star [1] first explodes leaving a neutron in the core. If the binary is within the radius of the companion in the giant stage, the first born neutron star will spiral into the envelope of the expanding companion in the giant stage. We assume the envelope of the giant to be convective.

In the rest frame of the compact object, Bondi-Hoyle-Lyttleton accretion of the hydrogen envelope of density ρ_∞ and velocity V is

$$\dot{M} = 2.23\times 10^{29}\ \frac{M_{co,1}^2}{V_8^3}\ \rho_\infty\ {\rm g\ s}^{-1} \quad (6)$$

where $M_{co,1} \equiv M_{co}/1\ M_\odot$ is the mass of the compact object, V_8 is the velocity in unit of 1000 km s^{-1}, and ρ_∞ is given in g cm^{-3}. The density ρ_∞ required for the hypercritical accretion, $\dot{M} = 10^8\dot{M}_{Edd} \approx 10^{26}$ g s^{-1}, is

$$\rho_\infty = 0.44\times 10^{-3}\frac{V_8^3}{M_{co,1}^2}\ {\rm g\ cm}^{-3}. \quad (7)$$

Using the Kepler law for circular orbits $V^2 = GM_{tot}/a$, where M_{tot} is the combined mass of the compact object and the stellar material interior to the orbit of the compact object and a is the binary separation, one finds

$$\rho_\infty = 2.1\times 10^{-5}\frac{1}{M_{co,1}^2}\left(\frac{M_{tot,10}}{a_{12}}\right)^{3/2}\ {\rm g\ cm}^{-3}, \quad (8)$$

where $M_{tot,10} = M_{tot}/10^{10}M_\odot$ and $a_{12} = a/10^{12}cm$. Since this critical density is of the same order as the average density of the hydrogen envelope of the giant star, the hypercritical accretion $\dot{M} \gtrsim 10^8\dot{M}_{Edd}$ can occur in this spiral-in process. This rapid accretion can add $\sim 1\ M_\odot$ to the first-born neutron star in less than about one year, which is enough to turn the first born neutron star into a black hole. In this process, the orbital radius shrinks by a factor ~ 50.

If the companion is massive enough to have a supernova explosion, the binary system will end up as a black hole−neutron star (bh,ns) binary instead of a (ns,ns) binary. If the companion is less massive, the binary will end up as black hole−CO white dwarf (bh,cowd) binaries. Brown, Lee, Portegies Zwart, & Bethe (1999) show that there are big discrepancies between the predicted and the observed (ns,ns) and (ns,cowd)$_c$ (i.e. with circular orbits) binary systems. They solved this discrepancy by arguing that in both systems the first born neutron star turned into black holes by accretion leaving behind

---

[1] The progenitor masses should be different by more than 5%. If the two masses are close enough, the binary will explode nearly at the same time leaving the neutron star−neutron star (ns,ns) binary. In this case, there is not enough time to change neutron star into black hole by accretion.

(bh,ns) and (bh,cowd) binaries. The (bh,ns) binaries are one of the most important sources for gravitational detectors. The chirp mass of double neutron star binary with masses 1.4 $M_\odot$ is $M_{chirp} = \mu^{0.6}(M_1 + M_2)^{0.4} = 1.2\ M_\odot$, while that of the (bh,ns) binary with $M_{BH} = 2.4\ M_\odot$ is $M_{chirp} = 1.6\ M_\odot$. The (bh,ns) binaries, if they exist, can be more easily detected by factor $(1.6/1.2)^3 = 2.4$ than the (ns,ns) binaries. Since the LIGO is based on one observation of (ns,ns) binary, their unseen (bh,ns) will enhance the detectability of LIGO by factor of ∼30 considering the effect of the chirp masses. This will be tested in near future.

One of the most strong criticisms on the hypercritical accretion was the angular momentum and possibility of a strong jet along the rotation axis. Even thouth these remain as unsolved problems, Brown, Lee, & Bethe (2000) showed that Narayan & Yi (1994) ADAF solution can be applied in this case if the accretion flows is radiation-dominated. With the hypercritical accretion, the inner boundary of accretion flow can be extended to the marginally bound orbit ($r_{mb} = 2R_{Sch}$ for Schwarzschild black holes). Since $r_{mb} \approx 9$ km, the inner boundary of accretion disc can be extended to the neutron star surface $r_{NS} \gtrsim 10$ km. By using a reasonable viscosity parameter $\alpha = 0.05$, they found that the neutrino cooling in the disc is almost negligible $< 10^{-7}$ of viscosity generated energy. Brown et al. (2000) argued that in order to avoid strong polar jets or pile-up up of material above neutron star surface, the neutrinos are generated at the neutron surface to carry out the pressure and energy from the system.

4. HYPERCRITICAL ACCRETION ONTO A MAGNETAR

Despite a very strong magnetic field, the dynamic effects of the magnetic field would be negligible if the mass accretion rate satisfies

$$\dot{M} > \frac{1}{2}B^2\left(\frac{GM}{R^5}\right)^{1/2} \sim 7\times10^{25}\ B_{14}^2\left(\frac{M_{1.5}}{R_6^5}\right)^{1/2}\ \mathrm{g\ s^{-1}}$$

$$\sim 4\times10^7\ B_{14}^2\left(\frac{M_{1.5}}{R_6^7}\right)^{1/2}\dot{M}_{\rm Edd} \qquad (9)$$

Such a possibility is realized when the accretion is hypercritical.

During hypercritical accretion, even when the electromagnetic dipole radiation occurs for a fast spinning pulsar, the spin-up by hypercritical accretion can counter the spin-down. The spin-down torque due to the dipole radiation emission is

$$N_{em} = \frac{2}{3c^3}B^2R^6\sin^2\theta\ \Omega^3 \qquad (10)$$

and the spin-up torque due to accretion is

$$N_{acc} = \dot{M}\tilde{a}\ (GMR)^{1/2} \qquad (11)$$

where $\tilde{a} \sim 0.5$ is the sub-Keplerian rotation factor in the hypercritical accretion (Brown, Lee, & Bethe 2000). The equilibrium spin is reached at the neutron star spin frequency

$$\Omega_{\rm NS} \approx 1.4\times10^3\left(\frac{\tilde{a}_{0.5}\ \dot{M}_{26}\ M_{1.5}^{1/2}}{\sin^2\theta\ R_6^{11/2}\ B_{14}^2}\right)^{1/3}\ s^{-1}. \qquad (12)$$

By assuming $\langle\sin^2\theta\rangle \sim 0.5$, one gets $\Omega_{NS} \sim 1.8\times10^3\ \mathrm{s^{-1}}$ with other quantities in unities. The collapse of the neutron star to a black hole results in a rapidly spinning black hole with a spin frequency

$$\Omega_{\rm H} = \left(\frac{R_{\rm NS}}{R_{\rm H}}\right)^2\Omega_{\rm NS} \approx 4\Omega_{\rm NS} \sim 7.2\times10^3\ s^{-1} \qquad (13)$$

which corresponds to black hole spin of $\tilde{a} \sim 0.1$. The magnetic field strength

$$B_{\rm H} = \left(\frac{R_{\rm NS}}{R_{\rm H}}\right)^2 B_{\rm NS} \approx 4B_{\rm NS} \approx 4\times10^{14}B_{\rm NS,14}\ \mathrm{G} \qquad (14)$$

where we have assumed the angular momentum conservation and flux-freezing.

If the magnetar enters the hypercritical accretion regime as a slowly spinning neutron star, the spin-up during the hypercritical accretion will take place on a time scale

$$t_{spin-up} \sim \frac{I_{\rm NS}\Omega_{\rm NS}}{N_{acc}} \sim 1.6\times10^7\ \mathrm{s} \sim 0.5\ \mathrm{yr} \qquad (15)$$

which is comparable to the spiral-in time scale (∼ 1 yr) during the common envelope phase.

5. ENERGETICS OF BLANDFORD-ZNAJEK PROCESS

Based on the steady pulsar periods and spin-down rates, the magnetic field of pulsars are generally estimated by assuming the dipole radiation induced by magnetic fields. The typical fresh neutron stars in the pulsar island have $\sim 10^{12}$ G, and the recycled ones have $\lesssim 10^{10}$ G. The discoveries of the magnetar and AXP suggest the possibilities of the strong magnetic field $\gtrsim 10^{14}G$. Even though AXP and magnetars raised questions on the origin of the pulsar mechanism, we assume the dipole radiation as origin of the pulsar in these systems. The probability of pulsar with strong magnetic field is assumed to be ∼ 10% (Kouveliotou et al. 1998, 1999).

After the discovery of GRB afterglows, the theoretical understandings of the formation of GRBs have been improved quite a lot. However, the mechanism of the central engine is still controversial. The electromagnetic extraction of the BH rotating energy by the Blandford-Znajek process (Bandford & Znajek 1977) is one of the candidate mechanisms, in which the strong magnetic field is essential to power the GRB. Typically $B_H \sim 10^{15}$ G can generate enough energy to power the GRB from rotating black holes. However, the existence of the strong magnetic field in the black holes is uncertain because the strong magnetic fields diffuse in very short time scales.

We suggest that the Blandford-Znajek process can operate if the collapsing neutron stars, with $B \gtrsim 10^{14}$ G, carry the magnetic fields with them, amplifying the fields upto $\sim 10^{15}$ G. In this scenario, the existence of neutron stars prior to the black holes is essential.

The hypercritical accretion prior to the collapse to a black hole spins up the neutron star and the black hole is expected to be spinning at rotational angular velocity close to $\tilde{a} \sim 0.1$. Once black hole is formed, the spin-down torque due to the dipole emission is not working, and the spin-up torque due to accretion can increase the black hole spin further. So, our estimate given above may be a low limit.

The Blandford-Znajek power (Lee, Wijers, & Brown 2000a) from the rotating black hole with the angular momentum $J = aM$ and the magnetic field B_H is given by

$$P_{\rm BZ} \sim 10^{50} a^2 B_{H,15}^2 (M/M_\odot)^2 \text{ erg s}^{-1}. \qquad (16)$$

Using the values in the previous section, we have $P_{\rm BZ} \sim 3.6 \times 10^{47}$ erg s^{-1}. The total available rotational energy is 0.06% of the rest mass $\sim 2 \times 10^{51}$ erg (Lee, Wijers, & Brown 2000a).

6. THE ROLE OF BLACK HOLE FORMATION IN THE SPIRAL-IN PHASE

When the first born star with strong magnetic field accretes material from the companion envelope, the black hole should be formed inside the envelope. Since the black hole is formed in the process of the hypercritical accretion, the temperature near the surface of the neutron star should remain $\sim$ 1 MeV until the collapse into a black hole. This temperature is required to generate neutrinos. In this case, the spin frequency for the r-mode instability is so high that we can neglect the effect (Lindblom & Owen 1999). If the Blandford-Znajek process works at the time of black hole formation, there is enough energy to generate GRBs. However, because of the optically thick envelope, gamma rays cannot come out through the hydrogen (or helium) envelope. Instead of GRBs, the energy will pile up in the envelope to generate supernova. The main difference from the normal SN scenarios is that the SN is not induced by the core collapse of the progenitors. The natural consequence is the strong asymmetry in the SN events. Since the companion mass is not the unique parameter, even the less massive stars (normal white-dwarf progenitors) can have SN events with high kick velocities.

The available energies powered by rotating black holes ($> 1.5\ M_\odot$) with Blandford-Znajek process can be $\sim 10^{51}$ erg. Since the binding energies ($0.6GM^2/R$) of the hydrogen envelope of giant stars (10 $M_\odot$) are $\sim \mathcal{O}(10^{48})$ erg, newly formed black holes have enough energy to blow the envelope off. If only 1% of this SN energy is available for the kinetic energy of the He core of the giant star, the available kick velocities are $\sim \mathcal{O}(1000 \text{ km s}^{-1})$.

Fast moving white dwarfs from SN remnants, if exists, will be the consequences of this "companion induced SN events". If the black holes are formed just before the normal SN events of the companions, the double SN are also possible leaving (bh,ns) as remnants. Especially, in the later case, the neutron star can have double kicks, resulting in very high kick velocities, > 1000 km s^{-1}.

Bethe & Brown (1998) argued that the formation rate of (bh, ns) binaries is 10^{-4} yr^{-1} per galaxy, where the low mass black holes ($\sim 2.5\ M_\odot$) are formed in the common envelope evolution. By assuming the flat q distribution, Brown, Lee, Portegies Zwart, & Bethe (1999) also argued that the formation rate of circular $(bh, cowd)_c$ binaries is $\sim 1.7 \times 10^{-4}$ yr^{-1} per galaxy. In their scenario the first born neutron star went into the common envelope evolution, where the neutron star is converted into black hole by hypercritical accretion. According to our scenario, in the formation of (bh, ns) and $(bh, cowd)_c$ binaries by hypercritical accretion, about 10% of the neutron stars have very strong magnetic field and will have the companion (newly formed black holes) induced supernova-like explosions. As a result $\sim$ 10% of progenitors of $(bh, cowd)_c$ binaries end up as eccentric $(bh, cowd)_e$ binaries instead of circular ones. Also $\sim$ 10% of progenitors of (bh, ns) binaries have double explosions with two different centers of explosion. By assuming that half of the progenitors of $(bh, cowd)_e$ or (bh, ns) binaries survive the explosion induced by rotating black holes, we have the formation rates of eccentric $(bh, cowd)_e$ binaries or double explosions

$$R \sim 10^{-5} \text{ yr}^{-1} \text{ per galaxy}. \qquad (17)$$

Since the cooling time of CO white dwarfs, i.e. the time required to reach luminosities $\log(L/L_\odot) = -4.5$ with $L_\odot$ = solar luminosity, is $1 - 10$ Gyr (Salaris et al. 1997), the number of eccentric $(bh, cowd)_e$ binaries with luminosity $\log(L/L_\odot) > -4.5$ is

$$N \sim 10^4 - 10^5 \text{ in Galaxy}. \qquad (18)$$

The number of non-pulsating eccentric $(ns, cowd)_e$ binaries with luminosity $\log(L/L_\odot) > -4.5$ is $\sim$ 10 times more popular than $(bh, cowd)_e$ systems. In order to identify $(bh, cowd)_e$ systems, one need well-established maximum neutron star masses. If there are mechanisms to identify the black holes from dead pulsars in addition to the mass (Balberg et al. 1999), one can detect $(bh, cowd)_e$ systems.

7. DISCUSSION

We have looked into a possibility that a supernova-like explosion could occur during the common envelope evolution of a strongly magnetized neutron star. The "magnetar" accretes in the form of the hypercritical accretion during the spiral-in phase and collapses to a rapidly spinning black hole with a strong magnetic field near the horizon. Then the explosion results from the sudden release of energy through the Blandford-Znajek mechanism. In this scenario, the strong magnetic field naturally occurs as it is derived from the strong field of the pre-collapse "magnetar".

There are a number of points to be clarified in the proposed scenario some of which could be observationally testable. First, if the magnetic field of the magnetar decays on a time scale $\sim 10^3 - 10^4$ yrs as is often argued for the evolutionary behavior of magnetars and anomalous X-ray pulsars (Colpi, Geppert, & Page 2000), then the common envelope phase has to follow the magnetar formation. Second, during the accretion phase, most of the emitted energy (including the dipole radiation) is ultimately radiated from the neutron star in the form of the neutrinos. Third, if the Blandford-Znajek phase occurs while the neutron star collapses into black hole in the envelope, the resulting off-center explosion will give a high eccentricity, which should be testable in terms of the highly eccentric binary systems. Fourth, there will be little metal spread from the core since there will be no core collapse. The dominant effect would be blowing-off the hydrogen envelope preceded by the expansion of the envelope.

If the merger of the companion core with the neutron star occurs before the black hole formation, then the explosion will not cause an off-center explosion. Although this possibility exists, the estimated accretion time scale on which the neutron star can accrete enough mass to collapse to a black hole is comparable to the spiral-in time scale. This implies that the off-center explosion is possible.

CHL is partly supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under grant DE-FG02-88ER40388. IY is supported in part by 1999-2000 KIAS Research Fund and KRF Research Fund KRF 1998-001-D00365. HKL is supported in part by BK21 Program of Ministry of Education and by KOSEF Grant No. 1999-2-112-003-5.

REFERENCES

Balberg, S., Shapiro, S.L., & Zampieri, L. 1999, astro-ph/9912101
Bethe, H. A. & Brown, G. E. 1998, ApJ, 506, 780
Brown, G. E., Lee, C.-H., & Bethe, H.A. 2000, ApJ, to be published, astro-ph/9909132
Blandford, R.D. & Znajek, R.L. 1977, MNRAS, 179, 433
Brown, G.E., Lee, C.-H., Lee, H.K., & Bethe, H.A. 1999, Proceedings of 5th Huntsville GRB Symposium, astro-ph/9911458
Brown, G.E., Lee, C.-H., Portegies Zwart, S., & Bethe, H.A. 1999, ApJ, submitted, astro-ph/9911130
Colpi, M., Geppert, U., & Page, D. 1999, ApJ, 529, L29
Duncan, R. C. & Thompson, C. 1992, ApJ, 392, L9
Kluzniak, W. & Ruderman, M. 1997, ApJ, 508, L113
Kouvelioutou, C. et al. 1998, Nature, 393, 235
Kouvelioutou, C. et al. 1999, ApJ, 510, L115
Lindblom, L. & Owen, B.J. 1999, Phys. Rev. Lett., 80, 4843
Lee, H.K. Wijers, R.A.M.J., & Brown, G.E. 2000a, Phys. Rep., 325, 83
Lee, H.K., Brown, G.E., & Wijers, R.A.M.J. 2000b, to appear in ApJ, astro-ph/9911401
Mereghetti, S. 1999, preprint, astro-ph/9911252
Ritchings, R. T. 1976, MNRAS, 176, 249
Salaris, M., Dominguez, I., Garcia-Berro, E., Hernanz, M., Isern, J., & Mochkovitch, R. 1997, ApJ, 486, 413
Thompson, C. & Duncan, R. C. 1993, ApJ 408, 194
Yoshida, S. & Eriguchi, Y. 1999, astro-ph/9911513
Yi, I. 1995, ApJ, 442, 768
Yi, I. & Blackman, E. G. 1997, ApJ, 482, 383
Yi, I. & Grindlay, J. E. 1998, ApJ, 505, 828